


## Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	9.09%	1
Better than expected	36.36%	4
About what I expected	45.45%	5
Worse than expected	9.09%	1
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		11

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	Too basic and too general.	12/10/2018 9:03 PM
2	All useful and timely!	12/7/2018 10:24 AM

Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 11    Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		90.91%	10
No		9.09%	1
TOTAL			11


### Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 1   Skipped: 10

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I needed knowledge regarding copyrights and trademarks, but not for my practice.	12/7/2018 3:34 PM

## Q4 How useful was the content presented?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	0.00%	0
Very useful	45.45%	5
Somewhat useful	45.45%	5
Not so useful	9.09%	1
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		11

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	Too general.	12/10/2018 9:03 PM
2	The company I work with is involved, on occasion, with tech transfer and gets involved with CRADAs	12/7/2018 9:04 PM
3	Reinforced certain IP basics and introduced new concepts	12/7/2018 5:59 PM
4	Instructors did a good job on the areas I needed covered.	12/7/2018 3:35 PM
5	Pertinent to a license issue for a client	12/7/2018 3:04 PM
6	Covered some specialized and "niche" aspects of intellectual property law that I infrequently think about	12/7/2018 10:25 AM
7	Would have like to get a copy of materials used for AM session also	12/7/2018 10:24 AM


## Q5 What strategy or tool did you learn that you will put to use immediately?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 4

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	negotiation of license changes	12/11/2018 10:39 AM
2	Nothing	12/10/2018 9:03 PM
3	"Recapture" of copyright royalties	12/7/2018 5:59 PM
4	Applying for trademark and/or copyright.	12/7/2018 3:35 PM
5	I will likely revise his proposed license agreement to be far more specific and it will have a definite terminatino/renewal date	12/7/2018 3:04 PM
6	n/a	12/7/2018 10:25 AM
7	licensing comps	12/7/2018 10:24 AM

Q6 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?

Answered: 11    Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	90.91%	10
No	9.09%	1
TOTAL		11


## Q7 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 1   Skipped: 10

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The entire audience was IP practitioners so the content should have been more in depth.	12/10/2018 9:04 PM

## Q8 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0




	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Samantha Updegraff	10.00% 1	50.00% 5	40.00% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	10	3.70
Ryan B. Kennedy, Los Alamos National Labs	27.27% 3	45.45% 5	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	4.00
Melissa Silverstein, Melissa Silverstein Law PLLC	36.36% 4	36.36% 4	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	4.09
Helen Baca, Sandia National Laboratories	54.55% 6	36.36% 4	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	4.45
Luis Ortiz, Loza & Loza LLP	9.09% 1	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	3.73
Jeffrey Albright, Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP	0.00% 0	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	11	3.27
Jeffrey Myers, Wilcox & Myers PC	9.09% 1	54.55% 6	36.36% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	3.73
Breanna Contreras, Bardacke Allison LLP	18.18% 2	63.64% 7	9.09% 1	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11	3.91



## Q9 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	63.64%	7
CLE Website	27.27%	3
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	18.18%	2
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	45.45%	5
Bar Bulletin Calendar	9.09%	1
E-mail from State Bar	54.55%	6
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 11		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q10 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 2   Skipped: 9

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Case based was good.	12/11/2018 10:42 AM
2	This was my first NM CLE experience, and I was very favorably impressed with both the quality/value added of the speakers and the organization of the event.	12/7/2018 6:01 PM


## Q11 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 1   Skipped: 10

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Privacy issues and tech.	12/11/2018 10:42 AM

## Q12 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):


Answered: 9 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	77.78%	7
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	22.22%	2
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	11.11%	1
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	55.56%	5
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 9		

### Q13 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	54.55%	6
Very likely	45.45%	5
Somewhat likely	0.00%	0
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>